WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

	·	DISTR	ICT OF ARIZOI	<u>va</u>			
	UNIT	ED STATES OF AMERICA					
		V.	ORD	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL			
- 1.1	Ang	el Alberto Valdez-Rabago					
			Case Number:	C	08-3540m		
and was	repres	vith the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142 ented by counsel. I conclude by a prepon defendant pending trial in this case.	2(f), a detention hearing w derance of the evidence	as held on the defenda	<u>12/12/08</u> . Defen ant is a serious flight r	dant was present isk and order the	
			INDINGS OF FACT				
I find by		onderance of the evidence that:					
	\boxtimes	The defendant is not a citizen of the United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.					
	\boxtimes	The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.					
	\boxtimes	The defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.					
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.						
		The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculat to assure his/her future appearance.					
		The defendant has a prior criminal histo	ry.				
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.						
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.						
	There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.						
	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.						
		The defendant is facing a maximum of		ears impri	sonment.		
at the tir	The Co	urt incorporates by reference the material e hearing in this matter, except as noted	I findings of the Pretrial S in the record.	ervices Age	ency which were revie	wed by the Court	
		CON	ICLUSIONS OF LAW				
	 There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee. No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required. 						
DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION							
a correct appeal. of the U	tions fac The det nited St	endant is committed to the custody of the cility separate, to the extent practicable, fr fendant shall be afforded a reasonable op ates or on request of an attorney for the G e United States Marshal for the purpose	om persons awaiting or so portunity for private cons Sovernment, the person in	erving sente ultation witl n charge of	ences or being held in h defense counsel. O the corrections facility	custody pending n order of a court	
		APPEALS A	ND THIRD PARTY REL	EASE			
deliver a Court. I service	copy or Pursuan of a cop	RDERED that should an appeal of this de f the motion for review/reconsideration to at to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., effective by of this order or after the oral order is s ailure to timely file objections in accordar	Pretrial Services at least e December 1, 2005, De tated on the record within	one day pri fendant sha n which to f	or to the hearing set b all have ten (10) days ïle specific written ob	pefore the District from the date of jections with the	
Services	s suffici	JRTHER ORDERED that if a release to a ently in advance of the hearing before the ootential third party custodian.	third party is to be considered District Court to allow	lered/it is c Pretrial Se	ounsel's responsibility rvices an opportunity	y to notify Pretrial to interview and	
DATE:	12/	15/08		Hul	Mar		

Lawrence O. Anderson United States Magistrate Judge